To: Tulis, Dana[Tulis.Dana@epa.gov]

From: Hull, George

Sent: Sat 8/15/2015 4:22:11 AM

Subject: Re: R9 Data for 8/9/15 and message

Will do. Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 15, 2015, at 12:13 AM, Tulis, Dana < Tulis. Dana@epa.gov > wrote:

Nothing more tonight, get some rest!

Dana S. Tulis
Deputy Office Director
Office of Emergency Management
US EPA
202-564-7938

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Ruhl, Christopher" < <u>Ruhl.Christopher@epa.gov</u>>

Date: August 15, 2015 at 12:06:36 AM EDT

To: "Tulis, Dana" < Tulis. Dana@epa.gov >, "Wagner, Christine"

< Wagner. Christine@epa.gov>

Cc: "Cheatham, Reggie" < cheatham.reggie@epa.gov>, "Manzanilla, Enrique"

< Manzanilla. Enrique@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: R9 Data for 8/9/15 and message

That is correct that we are not posting tonight. The Aug 9 data and message review is awaiting HQ review in the morning.

Chris Ruhl

USEPA R6 Oil Team Leader

Federal On Scene Coordinator

(214) 665-7356 office

From: Tulis, Dana

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 11:00 PM

To: Wagner, Christine

Cc: Ruhl, Christopher; Cheatham, Reggie; Manzanilla, Enrique

Subject: Re: R9 Data for 8/9/15 and message

Chris Ruhl said not tonite? They we're suppose to remove any reference to risk based screening levels. We talked to Enrique about this a couple of times today. For water, are we are comparing to pre-incident levels.

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938

On Aug 14, 2015, at 11:45 PM, "Wagner, Christine" < Wagner. Christine@epa.gov> wrote:

Yes thank you

On Aug 14, 2015, at 9:44 PM, Tulis, Dana < Tulis. Dana@epa.gov > wrote:

Chris, is this for tomorrow's review?

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938

On Aug 14, 2015, at 11:34 PM, "Wagner, Christine"

< <u>Wagner.Christine@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Terry and Dana

This is the R9 data for 8/9/15. This has not yet been approved by HQ, but has been approved through the Regional EOC.

Below is the message to accompany this data:

Shiprock, New Mexico - August 14, 2015: Today, EPA has released additional water quality data from Aug. 7 to Aug. 9, 2015 on the San Juan River between Farmington and Shiprock, New Mexico. The August 7 data was collected for baseline purposes to understand river conditions at the Hogback monitoring location, prior to the impact of the upstream contaminants from the Gold King Mine release.

To assess the impacts of the release at the Gold King Mine, water quality samples were collected at four locations for 24 metals. Each surface water sample was analyzed for metals including arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, aluminum, cobalt and others.

The validated results indicate the EPA risk-screening level of recreational use is being met for all metals for the length of the river between Farmington and Shiprock, with the exception of lead on August 8. However, lead dropped below risk-screening levels on August 9.

Due to the highly variable conditions typical of this segment of the river, additional testing will be conducted, and we will work closely with the Navajo Nation EPA on assessing drinking water, agriculture, livestock, and other critical water needs.

Upon HQ approval, this is ready to post.

Thank you

Chris

From: Tenley, Clancy

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 11:19 PM

To: Wagner, Christine

Subject: Fw: Revised statement

From: Hiatt, Gerald

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 8:18 PM **To:** Blumenfeld, Jared; Tenley, Clancy

Cc: Harrison, Melissa; Strauss, Alexis; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla,

Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Calanog, Steve; Wise, Robert

Subject: Re: Revised statement

FWIW, attached please find the 8/9 data spreadsheet I have been using. In contrast to the 8/10-8/11 spreadsheet the columns are NOT marked "preliminary" nor is there any other indication the data are prelim...

Gerald F.S. Hiatt, Ph.D. Senior Regional Toxicologist U.S. EPA, Region 9 415-972-3064 hiatt.gerald@epa.gov Please be advised I have only limited ability to use email when not in the office (e.g., on travel) so please be patient with any delays in communication

From: Blumenfeld, Jared

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 8:06 PM

To: Tenley, Clancy

Cc: Harrison, Melissa; Strauss, Alexis; Hiatt, Gerald; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla, Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Calanog, Steve; Wise, Robert

Subject: Re: Revised statement

Please tell me this is not happening! After an entire day of work we are now saying that the 8/9 data is not validated. This is not acceptable. We need to get this out tonight. That was our commitment to the Navajo President. Please bring in Area Commander David Ostrander.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:59 PM, Tenley, Clancy Tenley.Clancy@epa.gov wrote:

Christine Wagner here just informed us she does not have approval for the data from the 9th. She got the Region 6 approval for 9/10 mixed up with ours. Dana Tulis just told us they do not have anyone available for approval tonight. Dana says it has to be tomorrow.

We need to send the data for the 9th to Christine Wagner.

From: Harrison, Melissa

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:46 PM **To:** Tenley, Clancy; Blumenfeld, Jared

Cc: Strauss, Alexis; Hiatt, Gerald; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla,

Enrique; Allen, HarryL

Subject: Re: Revised statement

Thanks, I'll send now. But, previously we have not included EPA before risk-screening levels. I recommend remaining consistent.

From: Tenley, Clancy

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:44 PM

To: Blumenfeld, Jared

Cc: Strauss, Alexis; Hiatt, Gerald; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla,

Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Harrison, Melissa

Subject: Re: Revised statement

"EPA" added, below. Melissa will send now.

From: Blumenfeld, Jared

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:37 PM

To: Tenley, Clancy

Cc: Strauss, Alexis; Hiatt, Gerald; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla,

Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Harrison, Melissa

Subject: Re: Revised statement

Please add "EPA" before "risk screening levels". I want it to go out tonight.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:33 PM, Tenley, Clancy < Tenley. Clancy@epa.gov > wrote:

Ok. That change made below. Ready to submit to HQs? Are we ok with release at 7:00 a.m. tomorrow rather than tonight, since it is 10:30 in DC?

From: Blumenfeld, Jared

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:25 PM

To: Tenley, Clancy

Cc: Strauss, Alexis; Hiatt, Gerald; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla,

Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Harrison, Melissa

Subject: Re: Revised statement

Yes only 7,8 and 9 only. According to team this is the only "validated" data. Language looks. Would use "segment" rather than "leg". Also I would say that "additional testing" instead of "additional review".

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:19 PM, Tenley, Clancy <Tenley.Clancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Melissa put the information you provided in the required format. Take a look. To confirm, we are only releasing four stations over three days? We will need to change the tables.

From: Harrison, Melissa

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:11 PM

To: Tenley, Clancy

Subject: Re: Revised statement

Shiprock, New Mexico - August 14, 2015: Today, EPA has released additional water quality data from Aug. 7 to Aug. 9, 2015 on the San Juan River between Farmington and Shiprock, New Mexico. The August 7 data was collected for baseline purposes to understand river conditions at the Hogback monitoring location, prior to the impact of the upstream contaminants from the Gold King Mine release.

To assess the impacts of the release at the Gold King Mine, water quality samples were collected at four locations for 24 metals. Each surface water sample was analyzed for metals including arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, aluminum, cobalt and others.

The validated results indicate the EPA risk-screening level of recreational use is being met for all metals for the length of the river between Farmington and Shiprock, with the exception of lead on August 8. However, lead dropped below risk-screening levels on August 9.

Due to the highly variable conditions typical of this segment of the river, additional testing will be conducted, and we will work closely with the Navajo Nation EPA on assessing drinking water, agriculture, livestock, and other critical water needs.

From: Tenley, Clancy

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:00 PM

To: Harrison, Melissa

Subject: Fw: Revised statement

From: Strauss, Alexis

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 6:59 PM

To: Blumenfeld, Jared

Cc: Keener, Bill; Kao, Jessica; Manzanilla, Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Hiatt, Gerald; ghiattphd@outlook.com; Tenley, Clancy; Quast, Sylvia; Minor, Dustin; Woo,

Nancy; Montgomery, Michael **Subject**: RE: Revised statement

Gerry indicates at Hogback lead at 250 micrograms/liter on the 8th, risk screening level 200 micrograms/liter on the 8th, thus amended below...see bold

From: Strauss, Alexis

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 6:48 PM

To: Blumenfeld, Jared

Cc: Keener, Bill; Jessica Kao (Kao.Jessica@epa.gov); Manzanilla, Enrique; Allen, HarryL; Hiatt, Gerald; 'ghiattphd@outlook.com'; Tenley, Clancy; Sylvia Quast (Quast.Sylvia@epa.gov); Minor, Dustin; Woo, Nancy;

Montgomery, Michael; Strauss, Alexis

Subject: Revised statement

Team: for purposes of this interpretative statement to go out today, we have narrowed our focus to just the four stations, and solely the fully validated data of Aug 7 to 9. Gerry, please confirm the accuracy of what we have drafted – please reply asap...in particular, please confirm that for Aug 7, 8 and 9 at the four stations (SJLP, SJFP, SJHP and SJSR) we have no metals exceedances...or correct this.

Next steps: Tuesday we can have validated data from the Aug I0, 11 and 12 analyses and draw conclusions.

Navajo Nation water quality data updated

Shiprock, NM – To assess water quality from the Gold King Mine spill to the Animas River, EPA collected samples at four locations along the Navajo Nation portion of the San Juan River between Farmington and Shiprock from August 7 to 9, 2015. Metals include arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, aluminum, cobalt and others. The validated results indicate the risk-screening level of recreational use is being met for all metals for the length of the river between Farmington and Shiprock, with a single exception: on August 8, lead levels were measured at 250 micrograms per liter, compared with the risk screening level of 200 micrograms per liter. August 7 data was collected for baseline purposes to understand river conditions at the Hogback monitoring

EPA continues to monitor, analyze, and share data for

location, prior to the impact of the upstream contaminants

from the Gold King Mine release.

the San Juan River in Navajo Nation as it becomes available. We will work closely with the Navajo Nation EPA on assessing drinking water, agriculture, livestock and other critical water needs.

Jared: next steps – Gerry Hiatt will continue to refine the data analysis this weekend with input from Water Division's Janet Hashimoto, feeding this to Harry Allen and Enrique. Enrique will task Ned Black with doing same for the agricultural risk-screening level data. Gerry will send tabular data he has to the team. Enrique notes via Clancy the validated data will be uploaded by the JIC in due course, so this (above) is the sole interpretive statement thus far, and begs the NN interest in agricultural uses of the water. Please ensure on the Aug 7,8 and 9 fully validated data is the only data uploaded to the JIC today. Thanks all.

<GKM_R09_20150806_gfsh.xlsx>